

Review Article

Bicuspid Aortic Valve Disease: A Comprehensive Review

Ify Mordi and Nikolaos Tzemos

*Institute for Cardiovascular Research, British Heart Foundation Glasgow Cardiovascular Research Centre,
University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8TA, UK*

Correspondence should be addressed to Nikolaos Tzemos, niko.tzemos@glasgow.ac.uk

Received 27 January 2012; Accepted 26 March 2012

Academic Editor: Ani C. Anyanwu

Copyright © 2012 I. Mordi and N. Tzemos. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Bicuspid aortic valve is the commonest congenital cardiac abnormality in the general population. This paper article will discuss our current knowledge of the anatomy, pathophysiology, genetics, and clinical aspects of bicuspid aortic valve disease.

1. Introduction

Bicuspid aortic valve (BAV) is the commonest congenital cardiac abnormality with an estimated prevalence of 1-2% [1]. It is almost 3 times more common in males than females [2]. Adverse cardiovascular outcomes in patients with BAV are more common than previously thought [3], therefore given its high prevalence it presents potentially a large burden on cardiovascular care.

This paper will discuss our current knowledge of the anatomy, pathophysiology, genetics, and clinical aspects of BAV disease using echocardiographic literature. Only BAV will be discussed in this paper as well as sequelae directly related to this.

1.1. Embryology. The definitive fetal cardiac structure is developed by 8 weeks. The semilunar valves form the division of the truncus arteriosus into two separate channels which form the aortic and pulmonary trunks. The channels are created by the fusion of two truncal ridges across the lumen. Small swellings appear on the inferior margins of each of the truncal ridges forming the basis of the adult valve leaflets. In each channel a third swelling occurs opposite the first two which will form the 3rd leaflet. In the normal aortic valve the left and right leaflets of the adult valve are formed from the respective swellings while the posterior leaflet is formed from a swelling in the aortic trunk [4, 5].

The exact pathogenesis of the formation of bicuspid aortic valves is not yet fully understood. It is thought there is certainly a genetic component, especially given the association of BAV with other congenital abnormalities such as coarctation of the aorta. In summary however, the BAV is formed by fusion of the aortic cusps during valvulogenesis.

The pulmonary valve can also be bicuspid, although this is much rarer and is most commonly associated with congenital heart disease such as Tetralogy of Fallot. There have been less than 10 cases reported in the literature of an isolated bicuspid pulmonary valve [6].

1.2. Anatomy. The bicuspid valve is composed of two leaflets, of which one is usually larger [7, 8] (Figure 1). The commonest configuration of the bicuspid valve has the two commissures located in an anteroposterior direction giving left and right cusps while slightly less common is having the commissures located on the right and left sides of the annulus leading to anterior and posterior cusps. The most rare, occurring in less than 1% of patients, is due to fusion of the left and non-coronary cusps. A new classification has identified these as type 1, 2, and 3 bicuspid aortic valves [9] (Figure 2). A raphe is present on the right and anterior cusps respectively, and this can make the valve appear tricuspid on echocardiography. The site of cusp fusion can have effects on the prognosis of BAV [10], with the suggestion that type 1 BAVs are more likely to stenose as adults while type 2 valves will




FIGURE 1: The basic anatomy of the bicuspid aortic valve.




FIGURE 3: The bicuspid valve in the parasternal short axis view.




FIGURE 2: The classification and incidence of bicuspid aortic valves according to site of cusp fusion.

have complications at a younger age. The fused valve leaflet in BAV is actually smaller in area than the total area of two separate leaflets would be if the valve were tricuspid.

As well as valvular lesions there can be several associated nonvalvular lesions. The coronary anatomy can be abnormal. Most patients with BAV disease have a left dominant coronary circulation [8]. This left coronary can arise from the pulmonary artery. The left main can also be up to 50% shorter than in normal in up to 90% of cases [11]. This is an important consideration for any aortic valve surgery.

The commonest abnormality associated with BAV is dilatation of the thoracic aorta, also known as aortopathy. This is thought not only to be due to the altered flow in the aorta, but also due to cellular structural abnormalities including decreased fibrillin, causing smooth muscle cell detachment, and cell death [12].

The other major abnormality found in conjunction with BAV disease is coarctation of the aorta. This occurs in at least 20% of cases and perhaps up to 85% [13, 14]. The presence

of coarctation and a poor result from repair can lead to more rapid failure of the valve or aortic dissection.

1.3. Genetics. It is now generally accepted that there is a heritable component to BAV disease. Reports have estimated that there is around a 10% chance of a first degree relative having a bicuspid aortic valve in patients with the disease [15, 16]. A further study indicated a prevalence of almost a quarter in families with more than one member with BAV [17].

The connection of BAV disease and other cardiac abnormalities again suggests that there may be a developmental link. BAV has been found in just over a quarter of patients in a case series of 52 patients with interrupted aortic arch [18].

Mutations in a gene called *NOTCH1*, a transmembrane receptor that has a role in determining cell outcome in organogenesis, were noted in two families with BAV [19]. This seems to be the strongest genetic link discovered yet with further discoveries of missense *NOTCH1* mutations causing impaired Notch signalling [20, 21]. Several other genetic loci have been postulated including chromosomes 18q, 5q, and 13q, though no specific genes have been found.

Recent guidance from the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association takes into account the genetic component and recommends that all patients with a 1st degree relative with BAV should be evaluated for BAV and aortopathy [22]. No studies have been done as yet however to prove an economic benefit to screening; however recent work has been done to suggest that there is a sufficient pick-up rate of disease if first degree relatives are screened [23].

2. Diagnosis

Clinical findings are usually limited to auscultation with most patients having an ejection systolic murmur heard loudest at the apex [24]. There may also be signs of aortic stenosis and coarctation of the aorta if associated. The electrocardiogram is usually normal; however there may be signs of left ventricular hypertrophy.

The mainstay of diagnosis is echocardiography (trans-thoracic or transoesophageal) which can provide a definitive diagnosis in the majority of patients (Figure 3). Figures of 92% sensitivity and 96% specificity have been reported when images are adequate [25, 26]. Due to the natural history

of BAV to lead to heavily calcified stenotic valves, the utility of echocardiography can be limited [27].

The parasternal short axis view allows for direct visualization of the valve cusps. In this view the normal triangular opening shape is lost, becoming more “fish mouth-”like in appearance, more akin to the mitral valve. This is especially pronounced in systole, as in diastole the raphe can appear similar to a commissure of the third cusp.

A further useful aspect of echocardiography is its ability to identify other cardiac abnormalities including vegetations, systolic dysfunction, and visualisation of part of the aortic root (generally the first 3-4 cm). It is not able however to fully quantify the extent of any aortopathy (whether proximal or distal).

Because of these 2 main limitations, cardiac MRI and CT have been used to augment the diagnostic process. MRI especially will enable views of the valve to be obtained without interference from calcification. It also allows for excellent assessment of the aorta. A recent study of 123 patients with confirmed BAV found that 10% of the patients were misidentified as having a tricuspid valve using transthoracic echo and 28% had a nondiagnostic study, in comparison to 4% being misidentified as having a tricuspid valve by magnetic resonance imaging and 2% having a non-diagnostic study [28]. There is certainly a role for cardiovascular MRI in the assessment of BAV. Additionally, both imaging modalities could be employed to assess the presence and extent of aortopathy making them as excellent surveillance tools.

3. Clinical Progression

The natural history of BAV has been evaluated several cohort studies. It is known to be variable and of course somewhat dependent on associated abnormalities. It can range from severe aortic stenosis in childhood to asymptomatic disease until old age. There have indeed been incidental findings of a minimally calcified BAV in patients in their 70s [29]. More commonly however (in around 75% of patients) there is progressive fibrocalcific stenosis of the valve eventually requiring surgery. This usually leads to presentation in middle age—only around 2% of children have clinically significant BAV disease [30].

There have been a couple of studies looking at long-term followup of patients with unoperated BAV. A cohort of 212 asymptomatic patients [31] with BAV (age 32 ± 20 years) were found to have the same 20-year survival rate as the normal population (around 90%) but an increased frequency of cardiac events including aortic valve surgery, ascending aorta surgery and any other cardiovascular surgery. Predictive factors for cardiovascular events were found to be age ≥ 50 years and valve degeneration at diagnosis while baseline ascending aorta ≥ 40 mm independently predicted surgery for aorta dilatation.

Another cohort study [3] looked at outcomes in patients with symptomatic and asymptomatic bicuspid valve disease (mean age 35 year, median 31, range 16–78). 642 patients were followed up for a mean of 9 years, again with a 10-year survival rate similar to the normal population (96%). One or more primary cardiac events occurred in 25% including




FIGURE 4: Outcomes in BAV patients (from Tzemos et al. [3]).

cardiac death in 3, intervention on aortic valve or ascending aorta in 22%, aortic dissection or aneurysm in 2%, and congestive heart failure requiring hospital admission in 2%. Independent predictors of primary cardiac events were age older than 30 years, moderate or severe aortic stenosis, and moderate or severe aortic regurgitation (Figure 4).

A more recent study has looked at the incidence of aortic complications in 416 BAV patients (mean and median age 35 years, range <1–89) [32]. Incidence of aortic dissection was found to be 1.5% in all patients regardless of the progression of BAV; however this increased markedly in patients aged 50 or older at baseline to 17.4% and even more in those found to have aneurysm formation at baseline to (44.9%). 25-year rate for aortic surgery was 25% and there was a significant burden of progression of disease to cause aortic dissection with 49 of the 384 patients without baseline aneurysms developing them during followup, giving an age-adjusted relative risk of 86.2 and an incidence of 84.9 cases per 10000 patient-years.

The main complications identified in these cohort studies in patients with BAV are aortic stenosis, aortic incompetence, aortopathy/dissection, endocarditis, and sudden death.

3.1. Aortic Stenosis. The symptoms of the BAV tend to worsen with increasing stenosis severity, and measurements of the valve orifice. The main symptoms are (exertional) dyspnea, syncope, and chest pain. These patients should be evaluated and managed similarly to patients with tricuspid aortic valve stenosis, but of course the patients will generally present much earlier as described previously.

The foetus can generally survive with severe aortic stenosis due to blood flow through the right side of the heart; however in infancy there is usually a sudden decline in cardiovascular status. One study indicated that children with a valve gradient greater or equal to 50 mmHg had a risk of adverse cardiovascular events of 1.2% per year [33].

In adults with BAV, stenosis occurs by similar methods to the process in patients with tricuspid aortic valves. It is felt to be due to leaflet calcification. It is however more likely to be present in patients by 40 years old. There has been a suggestion that leaflet orientation may be a predictive factor in the rate of valve stenosis [34, 35]; however this was not replicated in the larger studies mentioned earlier [2, 31].

3.2. Aortic Incompetence. This is relatively common in BAV and is often independent of aortic stenosis [36, 37]. One cohort of 118 BAV patients found that of 70 patients without aortic stenosis, 28 (40%) had moderate to severe aortic regurgitation. The mechanisms of aortic incompetence in children are usually due to prolapsing cusps, postvalve surgery or endocarditis, while as the patients age dilatation of the ascending aorta can lead to a functionally regurgitant valve. Tzemos et al. [3] however suggested that rates of intervention in BAV patients with solitary aortic incompetence tended to be low. Another important cause of aortic incompetence is myxoid degeneration of the valve. This is where the connective tissue of the valve is replaced by acid mucopolysaccharides disrupting the structural integrity of the valve. One case series included 27 patients with BAV who had pure aortic incompetence—16 of these had severe myxoid degeneration and required earlier intervention than the other 11 (average 40 years versus 52) [38].

3.3. Aortopathy/Aortic Dissection. BAV is often associated with dilatation of the aortic root and the ascending aorta [39]. This is otherwise known as aortopathy. This can lead to aneurysm and dissection. The dilatation has been reported during childhood, and it has also been suggested that increased aortic size at baseline is predictive for earlier dilatation and worse outcomes [40, 41]. Aortic size is larger generally in patients with BAV compared to those with normal valves [42]. The most likely risk factor for progression is felt to be age. Aortic root size itself is related to valve morphology and the presence of significant disease [43, 44]; however, a recent study did suggest that while most patients with BAV and ascending aortic aneurysm had severe valve dysfunction, there was a small proportion of patients (5%) who did have aneurysm formation without any aortic valve dysfunction [45].

Many theories have been postulated for the mechanism of BAV aortopathy. For a long time there has been felt to be a genetic component; however there is increasing evidence for a haemodynamic mechanism. It is felt that it is due to defects in the aortic media, such as elastin fragmentation, loss of smooth muscle cells, and an increase in collagen [46–49]. Systemic features have also been noted in BAV patients which may predispose to aneurysm formation including systemic endothelial dysfunction and higher plasma levels of matrix metalloproteinases [50]. Also noted has been an increased amount of wall stress in the ascending aorta [51].

Aortic dissection is a devastating concern in these patients; however the incidence of this has been variable in the studies, from no events [31] and 0.1% [3] in the larger studies, up to 4% in pooled earlier studies [52]. Risk stratification for bicuspid aortic valve and development of aortopathy still has a long way to go as there has so far appeared to be little correlation between echocardiographic and histologic findings and development of aortic disease [53, 54]. Recent advances in echocardiography may help to identify at-risk patients in future [55].

There is still a lot of evidence pointing towards a genetic origin. 4 “important lines of evidence” have been identified

for the genetic theory [56]: (1) greater aortic size in patients with BAVs and aortic stenosis compared with those with tricuspid valves and aortic stenosis who are matched for hemodynamic severity [57]; (2) enlarged aortas are found in patients (including children) with BAVs but without any aortic stenosis or aortic regurgitation, compared with age-matched normal controls [58, 59]; (3) studies have demonstrated progressive enlargement of the aorta after aortic valve replacement (AVR) in patients with BAVs [60, 61], (4) studies have demonstrated degeneration of the extracellular matrix of the aorta in patients with BAVs, including elastic fiber fragmentation, increased metalloproteinase expression, decreased expression of tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases, and smooth muscle cell apoptosis as mentioned previously [50].

3.4. Endocarditis. Endocarditis is more common in BAV. The estimated incidence is 0.16% per year in unoperated children and adolescents [62]. In adults the two large case series by Tzemos and Michelena give an incidence of 0.3% and 2% per year, respectively.

Outcomes in BAV patients with infective endocarditis tend to be worse than in those with normal valves. A recent observational study [63] of 310 patients with infective endocarditis found that the 50 patients with BAV were younger at presentation and had a higher incidence of aortic perivalvular abscess. Early surgery was also performed in most of the BAV patients (72%) with similar perioperative mortality to those with tricuspid aortic valves. In-hospital mortality and 5-year survival were also comparable to patients with normal valves.

4. Management

The only treatments to offer any sort of curative option are surgical. Medical therapies are to try and alleviate symptoms and slow progression.

4.1. Medical. It is generally felt that blood pressure should be aggressively controlled to try and slow the progression of aortopathy. The joint ACC/AHA guidelines suggested use of beta-blockers as first-line therapy in these patients [63]. Extrapolating from patients with aortopathy in Marfan syndrome there is also a suggestion that ACE inhibitors may have a role to play; however the evidence in BAV is still lacking [64].

Of course, concomitant conditions and risk factors should be treated as in the normal population.

4.2. Surgical. Indications for valve surgery in patients with BAV are similar to those with tricuspid aortic valves. In children it is usually not practical to do aortic valve replacement as they outgrow the prosthetic valve. Due to the lack of valve calcification in children balloon valvuloplasty is possible and is the management strategy of choice [30]. Studies have shown good followup in both the immediate and medium terms, with 50% of patients in one series (the majority

of whom had BAV) requiring no intervention at 38 months [65].

The 2006 AHA/ACC guidelines also suggest concomitant replacement of the ascending aorta if it is greater than 45 mm in diameter. This has been supported by evidence looking at outcomes in over 200 patients with varying aortic diameters [66]. Estimated 15-year freedom from complications was 86% in patients with an aortic diameter less than 40 mm, dropping down to 81% in those with diameter 40–44 and 43% in patients with a diameter 45 mm or greater.

New techniques of repair such as transcatheter aortic valve implantation have also been reported in BAV [67].

5. Conclusion

Bicuspid aortic valve disease is the commonest congenital cardiac abnormality, and because of this it presents a significant burden on cardiac services. Recent cohort studies have given us knowledge of the outcomes of the disease and when to operate; however there is still a need for further evidence for screening and for medical therapies to be evaluated. Also, the role of cardiovascular magnetic resonance as primary imaging tool will continue to enlarge. As our understanding of the pathogenesis of valve degeneration and aortopathy improves this will allow us to identify new targets for treatment.

References

- [1] C. Ward, "Clinical significance of the bicuspid aortic valve," *Heart*, vol. 83, no. 1, pp. 81–85, 2000.
- [2] T. Ercan, F. Ekici, S. Atalay, and N. Nacar, "The prevalence of bicuspid aortic valve in newborns by echocardiographic screening," *American Heart Journal*, vol. 150, no. 3, pp. 513–515, 2005.
- [3] N. Tzemos, J. Therrien, J. Yip et al., "Outcomes in adults with bicuspid aortic valves," *The Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 300, no. 11, pp. 1317–1325, 2008.
- [4] R. H. Anderson, S. Webb, N. A. Brown, W. Lamers, and A. Moorman, "Development of the heart: (3) formation of the ventricular outflow tracts, arterial valves, and intrapericardial arterial trunks," *Heart*, vol. 89, no. 9, pp. 1110–1118, 2003.
- [5] A. Restivo, G. Piacentini, S. Placidi, C. Saffirio, and B. Marino, "Cardiac outflow tract: a review of some embryogenetic aspects of the conotruncal region of the heart," *The Anatomical Record A*, vol. 288, no. 9, pp. 936–943, 2006.
- [6] J. Orrit, C. A. Mestres, E. Agustí, and J. L. Pomar, "Isolated bicuspid pulmonary valve: an unusual finding," *The Journal of Heart Valve Disease*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 521–522, 2004.
- [7] W. C. Roberts, "Morphologic aspects of cardiac valve dysfunction," *American Heart Journal*, vol. 123, no. 6, pp. 1610–1632, 1992.
- [8] W. C. Roberts, "The congenitally bicuspid aortic valve. A study of 85 autopsy cases," *The American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 72–83, 1970.
- [9] B. M. Schaefer, M. B. Lewin, K. K. Stout et al., "The bicuspid aortic valve: an integrated phenotypic classification of leaflet morphology and aortic root shape," *Heart*, vol. 94, no. 12, pp. 1634–1638, 2008.
- [10] T. J. Calloway, L. J. Martin, X. Zhang, A. Tandon, D. W. Benson, and R. B. Hinton, "Risk factors for aortic valve disease in bicuspid aortic valve: a family-based study," *American Journal of Medical Genetics A*, vol. 155, no. 5, pp. 1015–1020, 2011.
- [11] P. W. M. Fedak, S. Verma, T. E. David, R. L. Leask, R. D. Weisel, and J. Butany, "Clinical and pathophysiological implications of a bicuspid aortic valve," *Circulation*, vol. 106, no. 8, pp. 900–904, 2002.
- [12] E. S. Murphy, J. Rosch, and S. H. Rahimtoola, "Frequency and significance of coronary arterial dominance in isolated aortic stenosis," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 505–509, 1977.
- [13] A. B. Stewart, R. Ahmed, C. M. Travill, and C. G. H. Newman, "Coarctation of the aorta life and health 20–44 years after surgery repair," *British Heart Journal*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 65–70, 1993.
- [14] P. Presbitero, D. Demarie, and M. Villani, "Long term results (15–30 years) of surgical repair of aortic coarctation," *British Heart Journal*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 462–467, 1987.
- [15] K. Huntington, A. G. W. Hunter, and K. L. Chan, "A prospective study to assess the frequency of familial clustering of congenital bicuspid aortic valve," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 1809–1812, 1997.
- [16] L. Cripe, G. Andelfinger, L. J. Martin, K. Shooner, and D. W. Benson, "Bicuspid aortic valve is heritable," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 138–143, 2004.
- [17] B. N. Glick and W. C. Roberts, "Congenitally bicuspid aortic valve in multiple family members," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 73, no. 5, pp. 400–404, 1994.
- [18] W. C. Roberts, A. G. Morrow, and E. Braunwald, "Complete interruption of the aortic arch," *Circulation*, vol. 26, pp. 39–59, 1962.
- [19] V. Garg, A. N. Muth, J. F. Ransom et al., "Mutations in *NOTCH1* cause aortic valve disease," *Nature*, vol. 437, no. 7056, pp. 270–274, 2005.
- [20] S. A. Mohamed, Z. Aherrahrou, H. Liptau et al., "Novel missense mutations (p.T596M and p.P1797H) in *OTCH1* in patients with bicuspid aortic valve," *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, vol. 345, pp. 1460–1465, 2006.
- [21] S. H. McKellar, D. J. Tester, M. Yagubyan, R. Majumdar, M. J. Ackerman, and T. M. Sundt, "Novel *NOTCH1* mutations in patients with bicuspid aortic valve disease and thoracic aortic aneurysms," *Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*, vol. 134, no. 2, pp. 290–296, 2007.
- [22] L. F. Hiratzka, G. L. Bakris, J. A. Beckman et al., "ACCF/AHA/AATS/ACR/ASA/SCA/SCAI/SIR/STS/SVM guidelines for the diagnosis and management of patients with thoracic aortic disease," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 55, no. 14, pp. E27–E129, 2010.
- [23] W. S. Kerstjens-Frederikse, G. J. Du Marchie Sarvaas, J. S. Ruiter et al., "Left ventricular outflow tract obstruction: should cardiac screening be offered to first-degree relatives?" *Heart*, vol. 97, no. 15, pp. 1228–1232, 2011.
- [24] P. Mills, G. Leech, M. Davies, and A. Leatham, "The natural history of a non-stenotic bicuspid aortic valve," *British Heart Journal*, vol. 40, no. 9, pp. 951–957, 1978.
- [25] K. L. Chan, W. A. Stinson, and J. P. Veinot, "Reliability of transthoracic echocardiography in the assessment of aortic valve morphology: pathological correlation in 178 patients," *Canadian Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 48–52, 1999.
- [26] R. Tanaka, K. Yoshioka, H. Niinuma, S. Ohsawa, H. Okabayashi, and S. Ehara, "Diagnostic value of cardiac CT in the evaluation of bicuspid aortic stenosis: comparison with

- echocardiography and operative findings," *American Journal of Roentgenology*, vol. 195, no. 4, pp. 895–899, 2010.
- [27] R. F. Ayad, P. A. Grayburn, J. M. Ko, G. Filardo, and W. C. Roberts, "Accuracy of two-dimensional echocardiography in determining aortic valve structure in patients > 50 years of age having aortic valve replacement for aortic stenosis," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 108, no. 11, pp. 1589–1599, 2011.
- [28] S. C. Malaisrie, J. Carr, I. Mikati et al., "Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging is more diagnostic than 2-dimensional echocardiography in determining the presence of bicuspid aortic valve," *The Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*. In press.
- [29] J. J. Fenoglio Jr., H. A. McAllister, and C. M. DeCastro, "Congenital bicuspid aortic valve after age 20," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 39, no. 2, pp. 164–169, 1977.
- [30] R. O. Bonow, B. A. Carabello, K. Chatterjee et al., "ACC/AHA 2006 guidelines for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 1998 Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Valvular Heart Disease)," *Circulation*, vol. 114, no. 5, pp. e84–e231, 2006.
- [31] H. I. Michelena, V. A. Desjardins, J. F. Avierinos et al., "Natural history of asymptomatic patients with normally functioning or minimally dysfunctional bicuspid aortic valve in the community," *Circulation*, vol. 117, no. 21, pp. 2776–2784, 2008.
- [32] H. I. Michelena, A. D. Khanna, D. Mahoney et al., "Incidence of aortic complications in patients with bicuspid aortic valves," *The Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 306, no. 10, pp. 1104–1112, 2011.
- [33] J. F. Keane, D. J. Driscoll, W. M. Gersony et al., "Second natural history study of congenital heart defects: results of treatment of patients with aortic valvar stenosis," *Circulation*, vol. 87, no. 2, pp. I16–I27, 1993.
- [34] S. Beppu, S. Suzuki, H. Matsuda, F. Ohmori, S. Nagata, and K. Miyatake, "Rapid progression of aortic stenosis in patients with congenital bicuspid aortic valves," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 71, no. 4, pp. 322–327, 1993.
- [35] S. M. Fernandes, P. Khairy, S. P. Sanders, and S. D. Colan, "Bicuspid aortic valve morphology and interventions in the young," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 49, no. 22, pp. 2211–2214, 2007.
- [36] M. G. Keane, S. E. Wiegers, T. Plappert, A. Pochettino, J. E. Bavaria, and S. M. G. S. John, "Bicuspid aortic valves are associated with aortic dilatation out of proportion to coexistent valvular lesions," *Circulation*, vol. 102, no. 19, pp. III35–III39, 2000.
- [37] A. S. Sadee, A. E. Becker, H. A. Verheul, B. Bouma, and G. Hoedemaker, "Aortic valve regurgitation and the congenitally bicuspid aortic valve: a clinico-pathological correlation," *British Heart Journal*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 439–441, 1992.
- [38] G. Yotsumoto, Y. Moriyama, H. Toyohira et al., "Congenital bicuspid aortic valve: analysis of 63 surgical cases," *Journal of Heart Valve Disease*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 500–503, 1998.
- [39] S. Nistri, M. D. Sorbo, M. Marin et al., "Aortic root dilatation in young men with normally functioning bicuspid aortic valves," *Heart*, vol. 82, pp. 19–22, 1999.
- [40] K. W. Holmes, C. U. Lehmann, D. Dalal et al., "Progressive dilation of the ascending aorta in children with isolated bicuspid aortic valve," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 99, pp. 978–983, 2007.
- [41] A. Dore, M. C. Brochu, J. F. Baril, M. C. Guertin, and L. A. Mercier, "Progressive dilation of the diameter of the aortic root in adults with a bicuspid aortic valve," *Cardiology in the Young*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 526–531, 2003.
- [42] G. J. Morgan-Hughes, C. A. Roobottom, P. E. Owens, and A. J. Marshall, "Dilatation of the aorta in pure, severe, bicuspid aortic valve stenosis," *American Heart Journal*, vol. 147, no. 4, pp. 736–740, 2004.
- [43] G. Thanassoulis, J. W. L. Yip, K. Filion et al., "Retrospective study to identify predictors of the presence and rapid progression of aortic dilatation in patients with bicuspid aortic valves," *Nature Clinical Practice Cardiovascular Medicine*, vol. 5, no. 12, pp. 821–828, 2008.
- [44] B. M. Schaefer, M. B. Lewin, K. K. Stout, P. H. Byers, and C. M. Otto, "Usefulness of bicuspid aortic valve phenotype to predict elastic properties of the ascending aorta," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 99, no. 5, pp. 686–690, 2007.
- [45] A. Aydin, N. Desai, A. M. J. Bernhardt et al., "Ascending aortic aneurysm and aortic valve dysfunction in bicuspid aortic valve disease," *International Journal of Cardiology*. In press.
- [46] K. Niwa, J. K. Perloff, S. M. Bhuta et al., "Structural abnormalities of great arterial walls in congenital heart disease: light and electron microscopic analyses," *Circulation*, vol. 103, no. 3, pp. 393–400, 2001.
- [47] H. I. Michelena, A. D. Khanna, D. Mahoney et al., "Incidence of aortic complications in patients with bicuspid aortic valves," *The Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 306, no. 10, pp. 1104–1112, 2011.
- [48] D. Bonderman, E. Gharehbaghi-Schnell, G. Wollenek, G. Maurer, H. Baumgartner, and I. M. Lang, "Mechanisms underlying aortic dilatation in congenital aortic valve malformation," *Circulation*, vol. 99, no. 16, pp. 2138–2143, 1999.
- [49] P. W. M. Fedak, M. P. L. De Sa, S. Verma et al., "Vascular matrix remodeling in patients with bicuspid aortic valve malformations: implications for aortic dilatation," *Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*, vol. 126, no. 3, pp. 797–806, 2003.
- [50] N. Tzemos, E. Lyseggen, C. Silversides et al., "Endothelial function, carotid-femoral stiffness, and plasma matrix metalloproteinase-2 in men with bicuspid aortic valve and dilated aorta," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 660–668, 2010.
- [51] D. P. Nathan, C. Xu, T. Plappert et al., "Increased ascending aortic wall stress in patients with bicuspid aortic valves," *The Annals of Thoracic Surgery*, vol. 92, no. 4, pp. 1384–1389, 2011.
- [52] W. G. Guntheroth, "A critical review of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association practice guidelines on bicuspid aortic valve with dilated ascending aorta," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 107–110, 2008.
- [53] O. Leone, E. Biagini, D. Pacini et al., "The elusive link between aortic wall histology and echocardiographic anatomy in bicuspid aortic valve: implications for prophylactic surgery," *European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 322–327, 2012.
- [54] V. Jackson, J. Petrini, K. Caidahl et al., "Bicuspid aortic valve leaflet morphology in relation to aortic root morphology: a study of 300 patients undergoing open-heart surgery," *European Journal of Cardio-thoracic Surgery*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. e118–e124, 2011.
- [55] G. Santaripa, G. Scognamiglio, G. Di Salvo et al., "Aortic and left ventricular remodeling in patients with bicuspid aortic valve without significant valvular dysfunction: a prospective study," *International Journal of Cardiology*. In press.
- [56] R. O. Bonow, "Bicuspid aortic valves and dilated aortas: a critical review of the critical review of the ACC/AHA practice guidelines recommendations," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 111–114, 2008.

- [57] G. M. Novaro, I. Y. Tiong, G. L. Pearce, R. A. Grimm, N. Smedira, and B. P. Griffin, "Features and predictors of ascending aortic dilatation in association with a congenital bicuspid aortic valve," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 99–101, 2003.
- [58] R. T. Pachulski, A. L. Weinberg, and K. L. Chan, "Aortic aneurysm in patients with functionally normal or minimally stenotic bicuspid aortic valve," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 67, no. 8, pp. 781–782, 1991.
- [59] M. Cecconi, M. Manfrin, A. Moraca et al., "Aortic dimensions in patients with bicuspid aortic valve without significant valve dysfunction," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 95, no. 2, pp. 292–294, 2005.
- [60] C. F. Russo, S. Mazzetti, A. Garatti et al., "Aortic complications after bicuspid aortic valve replacement: long-term results," *Annals of Thoracic Surgery*, vol. 74, no. 5, pp. S1773–S1776, 2002.
- [61] M. A. Borger, M. Preston, J. Ivanov et al., "Should the ascending aorta be replaced more frequently in patients with bicuspid aortic valve disease?" *Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*, vol. 128, no. 5, pp. 677–683, 2004.
- [62] W. M. Gersony, C. J. Hayes, D. J. Driscoll et al., "Bacterial endocarditis in patients with aortic stenosis, pulmonary stenosis, or ventricular septal defect," *Circulation*, vol. 87, no. 2, pp. I121–I126, 1993.
- [63] R. O. Bonow, B. A. Carabello, K. Chatterjee et al., "2008 Focused update incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2006 guidelines for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 1998 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Valvular Heart Disease): endorsed by the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons," *Circulation*, vol. 118, no. 15, pp. e523–e661, 2008.
- [64] A. A. Ahimastos, A. Aggarwal, K. M. D'Orsa et al., "Effect of perindopril on large artery stiffness and aortic root diameter in patients with Marfan syndrome: a randomized controlled trial," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 298, no. 13, pp. 1539–1547, 2007.
- [65] H. M. Rosenfeld, M. J. Landzberg, S. B. Perry, S. D. Colan, J. F. Keane, and J. E. Lock, "Balloon aortic valvuloplasty in the young adult with congenital aortic stenosis," *American Journal of Cardiology*, vol. 73, no. 15, pp. 1112–1117, 1994.
- [66] M. A. Borger, M. Preston, J. Ivanov et al., "Should the ascending aorta be replaced more frequently in patients with bicuspid aortic valve disease?" *Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*, vol. 128, no. 5, pp. 677–683, 2004.
- [67] J. Kochman, Z. Huczek, L. Koltowski, and M. Michalak, "Transcatheter implantation of an aortic valve prosthesis in a female patient with severe bicuspid aortic stenosis," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 33, no. 1, 112 pages, 2012.