



UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA

**Testcross performance of Doubled Haploids
versus S2, S4, and S6 selfing
generation in a maize population for the
subtropics in México**

Ever Andrés Vargas Escobar

Universidad Nacional de Colombia
Facultad de Ciencias Agropecuarias – Coordinación General de Posgrados
Palmira, Colombia
2019

Copyright © Ever Andrés Vargas Escobar, 2018. All rights reserved.

**Testcross performance of Doubled Haploids
versus S2, S4, and S6 selfing
generation in a maize population for the
subtropics in México**

Ever Andrés Vargas Escobar

Tesis de investigación presentada como requisito parcial para optar al título de:
Doctor en Ciencias Agrarias

Directores:

Dr. Thanda Dhliwayo

Científico de Centro Internacional
De Mejoramiento de Maíz y Trigo CIMMYT

Dr. Mario Augusto García

Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias
Universidad Nacional de Colombia

Universidad Nacional de Colombia
Palmira, Colombia
2018

Copyright © Ever Andrés Vargas Escobar, 2018. All rights reserved.

DEDICATORIA

Se lo dedico a Dios, que todo lo puedo en aquel que me conforta.

A toda mi familia que creyó en mi y siempre me ha apoyado con amor.

A mi mamá, Gloria Liliana, por enseñarme a querer lo que hago y perseverara a pesar de las dificultades

A mi papá, José Ever, que me enseñó la dedicación al estudio.

A mi hermano, José Fabián, que me apoyó y me dio ánimo y buenos consejos.

A mi hermanita, Viviana María, que tiene el don de escuchar y la paciencia.

A mis abuelitos, Graciela y Antonio, por todo el apoyo y la ayuda que me dieron durante toda la vida.

A mi hijo, David que es una de los motores para seguir en el camino y la superación.

A mi esposa, Julieta Andrea, que me ha dado amor y la felicidad de tener una familia.

AGRADECIMIENTOS

Gracias a Dios porque sin Él todo es más difícil.

Sería muy egoísta decir que la posibilidad de terminar este doctorado sea por el esfuerzo que hice solamente, fue más por un esfuerzo colectivo de personas, circunstancias y energía que entraron en coalición. Teniendo en cuenta esto le daría las gracias al Profesor Diosdado Baena (QEPD) que fue uno de los que más insistió en que iniciara este proceso.

A COLCIENCIAS y a los contribuyentes colombianos que con sus impuestos pudieron financiar este sueño.

A el CIMMYT especialmente al Dr. Luis Narro, Dra. Arcos Dr. Chaikam, Dr. Dhliwayo, Dra. Palacios, Dr. San Vicente, Dr. Chassaigne, Dr. Burgueño, Dr. Crossa, Dr. Prasanna, MSc. Andrea, MSc. Xiomara, Ing. Luis, Ing. Felipe, Ing. Ubaldo, Ing. Daniel, Janeth, Isabel, Lili, Guille y Gloria. Todos contribuyeron en alguna manera muy especial a este proceso y estoy eternamente agradecido.

A la Universidad Nacional de Colombia, especialmente al área de postgrados y profesores Dr. García, Dr. Jaime Eduardo, Dr Vallejo, y todos los que me dieron clase o estuvieron estudiando conmigo en algún momento. Mis amigos de la universidad que siempre me apoyaron: Herminio, Leidy Juliet, Nacho, Diego Caetano, Ginna Alejandra, Richard Peña, Angélica y Karen.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS	I
ABSTRACT	III
LIST OF FIGURES	IV
LIST OF TABLES	V
EQUATIONS.....	VII
NOMENCLATURE.....	VIII
1 Introduction	9
2 Description of the problem and justification.....	12
3 Literature review.....	15
3.1 Early Testing	15
3.2 Doubled Haploid	18
3.2.1 First Stage: haploid induction	19
3.2.2 Second stage: haploid identification	21
3.2.3 Third stage, chromosome doubling.....	23
3.2.4 Fourth stage, selfing.....	24
4 Materials and methods	27
4.1 Location.....	27
4.2 Genetic Material	29
4.3 Field test	31
4.4 Response variable.....	31
4.5 Statistical analysis methodology	33
4.5.1 Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for the segregating generation lines	33
4.5.2 Comparison between adjusted means of testcrosses from selfing lines and testcrosses from DH lines	36
4.5.3 Comparison of genotype x environment interactions between testcrosses from selfing generations and testcrosses from DH lines	38
5 Results	43
5.1 Analysis of Variance of Split-Split-Plot design	43
5.1.1 Correlation between segregating selfing cycles.....	63

II Testcross performance of Doubled Haploids versus S2, S4, and S6 selfing generation in a maize population for the subtropics

5.2 Comparison between weighted means of testcrosses from selfing lines and testcrosses from DH lines 68

5.3 Comparison of genotype x environment interactions between testcrosses from selfing generations and testcrosses from DH lines 77

 5.3.1 Eberhart & Russell..... 77

 5.3.2 Additive Main effects and Multiplicative Interaction (AMMI)..... 85

 5.3.3 GCA and Heritability..... 97

5.4 Timeline comparison between pedigree and Double Haploids testcross evaluation ... 103

6 Conclusion.....107

7 References110

ABSTRACT

Maize (*Zea mays*) hybrid breeding programs are increasingly using Double Haploid (DH) technology; however, in developing countries like in the subtropics, its use is still limited. DH is considered to provide 100% homozygous lines faster than the pedigree selfing method, and since production costs are presumably decreasing, it would be necessary to consider incorporating this technology into new and established breeding programs in these countries. This investigation starts with an S1 population from the same heterotic group the belongs to the CIMMYT Genebank, and it was divided into three parts. First, it describes the DH process, then the pedigree selfing method is evaluated for early generation testing, and finally, there was a comparison of both methodologies through a line by tester evaluation. The testcross performance of 15 DH lines and 14 lines from each of the S2, S4, and S6 selfing generation were tested in multilocation yield trials in the subtropics region of Mexico. It would take three years from the S1 population to identify the DH 100% homozygous lines with highest GCA, one year before the S6 lines (98.4% homozygous). For this data set, early generation testing was not found appropriate due to low correlation values. The DH lines reviled to have more GxE interactions than S2 testcrosses and the same heritability than the S6. There was no difference between the S2 evaluations; however, there were statistical differences found with the S4 and the S6 testcrosses. Thus, the DH technology could be implemented gradually in hybrid breeding programs, but the pedigree method should not be abandoned just yet, and testing in selfing generations should be done in S6.

Key words

Double haploid, hybrid maize breeding, early generation testing.

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Mexican subtropical region of “El Bajío”	29
Figure 2 Adjusted mean grain yield in Ton/ha of Selfing Generation testcrosses for 5 locations. Env 1: Tlaltizapán; Env 2: Celaya los Morelos; Env 5: Celaya INIFAP; Env 6: Metztlán; Env 9: Jalisco.....	48
Figure 3 Line by Generation Least Squares Means for yield (Ton/ha) on three selfing generation cycles.....	64
Figure 4 Adjusted mean and standard deviations for grain yield from testcrosses of selfing generations and double haploids.....	72
Figure 5 The relation of regression coefficient (β_i) and deviations from the regression (S^2_{di}) of 57 testcrosses from lines within levels of selfing generation. Only SL7 S6 and SL12 S6 are both adaptable and predictable.....	82
Figure 6 Adaptability and stability of DH and S2, S4 and S6 selfing generation testcrosses based on the regression Eberhart & Russell method.....	83
Figure 7 Plot of grain yield (Ton/ha) vs. coefficient of variation (CV%) from DH, S2, S4 and S6 Selfing generation. The mean for this test was 9.17 Ton/ha.....	84
Figure 8 AMMI Biplot of PC1 vs PC2 for grain yield of lines within levels.....	87
Figure 9 AMMI Grain Yield vs PC1	91
Figure 10 PC1 vs PC2 AMMI levels	95
Figure 11 PC1 vs grain yield of the levels.....	96
Figure 12 Schedule of cycles from pedigree Selfing generation and double haploid method starting from an S1 population.....	104

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1 Location in México of line by tester sites and Double haploid facilities	27
Table 2 Agroclimatic criteria used for the maize environment classification in México.....	28
Table 3 Testcross description from population ELITEWBF1/CML384	30
Table 4 ANOVA table split-split-plot design for line by tester of different generations	35
Table 5 ANOVA Segregating lines S2 S4 and S6 for grain yield (Ton / ha).....	44
Table 6 P-value Segregating lines S2 S4 and S6 for other variables.....	46
Table 7 Differences of Generation Least Squares Means of different Variables	46
Table 8 Differences of Tester Least Squares Means for different variables.....	47
Table 9 Grouping of Line Least Squares Means for Yield (Ton/ha).....	49
Table 10 Least square means for seven variables from line by tester trail through three generation S2 S4 and S6.....	50
Table 11 Line Least Squares Means for yield (Ton/ha) on three selfing generation cycles.....	64
Table 12 Pearson correlation coefficients matrix for eight variables through S2 S4 and S6 Generation Cycles and divided by Testers.....	66
Table 13 Standard Deviation from GLM procedure of grain yield from the double haploid testcross trail and the selfing generation testcross trail	69
Table 14 ANOVA for the grain yield of DH, S2, S4, and S6 Selfing generations.....	71
Table 15 Differences of levels Least Squares Means for grain yield	71
Table 16 Differences of Tester Least Squares Means for yield.....	73
Table 17 Grouping of Line (Level) least squares weighted means (Ton/ha)	73
Table 18 Summary of adaptability and stability based on the regression method Eberhart & Russell estimates for 57 maize lines from DH and Selfing generation testcrosses for grain yield	78
Table 19 Conclusion of the Eberhart & Russell model of 57 maize genotypes	81
Table 20 Summary of adaptability and stability of the Eberhart & Russell model estimates for four levels DH and S2 S4 and S6 selfing generations for grain yield.....	83
Table 21 Results of the Gollob test for AMMI of lines within levels	86
Table 22 ASV of lines within levels and regression coefficient (β_i) and deviations from the deviations from regression (S^2_{di}) from Eberhart & Russell	88

Table 23 Additive main effects and the multiplicative interactions for grain yield (Ton/ha) of each line within each level for the four environments	92
Table 24 Results of the Gollob test for AMMI of levels for grain yield	94
Table 25 ASV AMMI for levels	95
Table 26 General Combining ability.....	97
Table 27 General Combining Ability lines within levels	99
Table 28 General Combining Ability levels	102
Table 29 Heritability levels for all variables.....	102

EQUATIONS

Equation 1 Yield conversion equation.....	32
Equation 2 Linear response model for the Split-Split-Plot experiment for fixed effects	33
Equation 3 First Step Weight through checks.....	36
Equation 4 Second Step Weight through checks	37
Equation 5 Eberhart & Russell	38
Equation 6 Eberhart & Russell deviation from the regression	39
Equation 7 Deviations from the regression.....	39
Equation 8 Order of Stability for Eberhart & Russell.....	40
Equation 9 AMMI.....	41
Equation 10 AMMI Stability Value (ASV).....	41
Equation 11 Heritability.....	42

NOMENCLATURE

AMMI	Additive main effects and multiplicative interaction
ANOVA	Analysis of variance
ASI	Anthesis to Silking Interval
CIMMYT	International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center
CV	Coefficient of Variation
DH	Double haploid
EV-TC	Evaluation of a Testcross
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organization
GCA	General combining ability
GxE	Genotype by environment interactions
MARS	Marker assisted recurrent selection
OPVs	Open-pollinated varieties
QPM	Quality protein maize
R1-nj	R1-navajo
REML	Restricted maximum likelihood
S2di	Deviations from the regression
SAM	Shoot apical meristem
SCA	Specific combining ability
SL	Selfing generation line
SS	Sum of squares
TC	Testcross formation
USA- US	United States of America
USDA	The United States Department of Agriculture

7 References

- Arcos, A. L. (2014). *Comparación del Comportamiento Agronómico de Híbridos de Maíz Obtenidos con Líneas Doble Haploides y con Líneas Autofecundadas*. Universidad Nacional de Colombia.
- Battistelli, G. M., Von Pinho, R. G., Justus, a., Couto, E. G. O., & Balestre, M. (2013). Production and identification of doubled haploids in tropical maize. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, 12(4), 4230–4242. <https://doi.org/10.4238/2013.October.7.9>
- Belicuas, P. R., Guimarães, C. T., Paiva, L. V., Duarte, J. M., Maluf, W. R., & Paiva, E. (2007). Androgenetic haploids and SSR markers as tools for the development of tropical maize hybrids. *Euphytica*, 156(1–2), 95–102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-007-9356-z>
- Bernardo, R. (1991). Correlation between testcross performance of lines at early and late selfing generations. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 82, 17–21.
- Bernardo, R. (1992). Retention of Genetically Superior Lines during Early-Generation Testcrossing of Maize. *Crop Science*, 32(4), 933–937.
- Bernardo, R. (2003). Notes on the effectiveness of early generation selection in self pollinated crops. *Crop Science*, 43(4), 1558–1560.
- Bernardo, R. (2009). Should maize doubled haploids be induced among F1 or F 2 plants? *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 119(2), 255–262. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-009-1034-1>
- Bernardo, R. (2010). *Breeding for Quantitative Traits in Plants. University of Minnesota–Twin Cities* (Vol. 2). <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2003.1578>
- Bernardo, R., Lorenzana, R. E., & Mayor, P. J. (2005). Exploiting both Doubled Haploids and Cheap and Abundant Molecular Markers in Corn Breeding. *Technology*.
- Beyene, Y., Mugo, S., Pillay, K., Tefera, T., Ajanga, S., Njoka, S., ... Gakunga, J. (2011). Testcross performance of doubled haploid maize lines derived from tropical adapted backcross populations. *Maydica*, 56(4), 351–358.

-
- Beyene, Y., Mugo, S., Semagn, K., Asea, G., Trevisan, W., Tarekegne, A., ... Chavangi, A. (2013). Genetic distance among doubled haploid maize lines and their testcross performance under drought stress and non-stress conditions. *Euphytica*, *192*(3), 379–392. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-013-0867-5>
- Bordes, J., Charmet, G., De Vaulx, R. D., Lapierre, a., Pollacsek, M., Beckert, M., & Gallais, a. (2007). Doubled-haploid versus single-seed descent and S1-family variation for testcross performance in a maize population. *Euphytica*, *154*(1–2), 41–51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-006-9266-5>
- Bordes, J., Charmet, G., Dumas De Vaulx, R., Pollacsek, M., Beckert, M., & Gallais, A. (2006). Doubled haploid versus S1 family recurrent selection for testcross performance in a maize population. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, *112*(6), 1063–1072. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-006-0208-3>
- Bouchez, a, & Gallais, A. (1997). Efficiency of the Use of Doubled-Haploids in Recurrent Selection for Combining Ability.
- Castellanos, S., Hallauer, & Cordova, H. S. (1998). Relative performance of testers to identify elite lines of corn (*Zea mays* l.). *Maydica*, *43*, 217–226.
- Chaikam, V., Nair, S. K., & Babu, R. (2015). Analysis of effectiveness of R1-nj anthocyanin marker for in vivo haploid identification in maize and molecular markers for predicting the inhibition of R1-nj expression, 159–171. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-014-2419-3>
- Chalyk, S. T. (1994). Properties of maternal haploid maize plants and potential application to maize breeding. *Euphytica*, *79*, 13–18.
- Chase. (1949). Monoploid Frequencies in a Commercial Double Cross Hybrid Maize, and in Its Component Single Cross Hybrids and Inbred Lines. *Genetics*, *34*(3), 328–332.
- Chase, S. (1952). Production of homozygous diploids of maize from monoploids. *Agronomy Journal*, *44*(5), 263–267. <https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj1952.00021962004400050010x>
- Choudhary, P., Jhajharia, A., & Singh, D. (2014). In vitro protocol for induction of gametic

-
- embryos formation in maize (*Zea mays* L .). *Cell and Tissue Research*, 14(1), 4105–4111.
- Cockerham, C. (1961). Implications of Genetic Variances in a Hybrid Breeding Program.
- Crossa, J., Gauch, H., & Zobel, R. (1990). Additive Main Effects and Multiplicative Interaction Analysis of Two International Maize Cultivar Trials. *Crop Science*, 30(3), 493–500.
- Dang. (2010). Improvement of Protein Quality in Waxy Maize (*Zea Mays* L .) By Doubled Haploid and Marker Assisted Selection Techniques. *Diss. Eth No 19099*, (19099).
- Dang, N. C., Munsch, M., Aulinger, I., Renlai, W., & Stamp, P. (2012). Inducer line generated double haploid seeds for combined waxy and opaque 2 grain quality in subtropical maize (*Zea mays*. L.). *Euphytica*, 183(2), 153–160. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-011-0423-0>
- Darwin, C. (1877). *The Effects of Cross and Self Fertilisation in the Vegetable Kingdom*. Appleton, London.: Cambridge University Press.
- Duvick, D. (2005). GENETIC PROGRESS IN YIELD OF UNITED STATES MAIZE (*Zea mays* L .) 1. *Maydica*, 50, 193–202.
- Eathington, S. R., Dudley, J. W., & Rufener, G. K. (1997). Marker Effects Estimated from Testcrosses of Early and Late Generations of Inbreeding in Maize. *Crop Science*, 37(6), 1679–1685.
- Falconer, D. (1966). *Introducción a la genéticas cuantitativa*. (A. W. Longman, Ed.) (Acribia). Zaragoza España.
- FAO. (2015a). *Climate-Smart Agriculture : A call for action*. Bangkok.
- FAO. (2015b). *Family Farming feeding the world, caring for the earth. The effects of brief mindfulness intervention on acute pain experience: An examination of individual difference* (Vol. 1). Bangkok. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Fehr, W. R. (1998). *Principles of cultivar development: Theory and technique, Voll.* (E. Fehr & H. Jessen, Eds.) (Iowa State). Ney York: Collier Macmillan.
- Fritsche-Neto, R., Vieira, R., Scapim, C., Vieira, G., & Moreira, L. (2012). Updating the ranking of the coefficients of variation from maize experiments. *Acta Scientiarum. Agronomy*, 34,

99–101. <https://doi.org/10.4025/actasciagron.v34i1.13115>

Gabriel, K. R. (1971). The biplot-graphical display of matrices with applications to principal components analysis. *Biometrika*, 58, 453–467. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2334381>

Gallais, A., & Bordes, J. (2007). The use of doubled haploids in recurrent selection and hybrid development in maize. *Crop Science*, 47(SUPPL. DEC.). <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2007.04.0019IPBS>

Gauch, H. G. (2013). A simple protocol for AMMI analysis of yield trials. *Crop Science*, 53(5), 1860–1869. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2013.04.0241>

Gauch, H., & Zobel, R. (1988). Predictive and postdictive success of statistical analyses of yield trials. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 76(1), 1–10.

Geiger. (2009). Doubled Haploids. *Springer Science*, II, 641–657.

Geiger, H., Gordillo, G. A., & Koch, S. (2013). Genetic correlations among haploids, doubled haploids, and testcrosses in maize. *Crop Science*, 53(6), 2313–2320. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2013.03.0163>

Gordillo, G. A., & Geiger, H. H. (2008). Alternative recurrent selection strategies using doubled haploid lines in hybrid maize breeding. *Crop Science*, 48(3), 911–922. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2007.04.0223>

Gordillo, & Geiger, H. (2010). Optimum Hybrid Maize Breeding Strategies Using Doubled Haploids. *In Vivo*, 54(March), 1–30.

Hallauer, A., Carena, M., & Miranda, J. B. (1988). *Quantitative genetics in maize breeding* (Vol. 2).

Hallauer, A., & Miranda, J. (1981). *Quantitative genetics in maize breeding*. Iowa State University Press. Ames, Iowa: Iowa State University Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4290\(90\)90102-H](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4290(90)90102-H)

Hartkamp, White, Rodriguez, Banziger, Srinivasan, Granados, & Crossa, J. (2000). *Maize*

production environments Revisited. MEXICO: CIMMYT.

- Jumbo, M., Weldekidan, T., Holland, J. B., & Hawk, J. a. (2011). Comparison of conventional, modified single seed descent, and doubled haploid breeding methods for maize inbred line development using germplasm enhancement of maize breeding crosses. *Crop Science*, *51*(4), 1534–1543. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2010.10.0594>
- Kasha, K. J. (2003). Doubled Haploid Production, 345–350.
- Kebede, A. Z., Dhillon, B. S., Schipprack, W., Araus, J. L., Bänziger, M., Semagn, K., ... Melchinger, A. E. (2011). Effect of source germplasm and season on the in vivo haploid induction rate in tropical maize. *Euphytica*, *180*(2), 219–226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-011-0376-3>
- Kebede, A. Z., Melchinger, A. E., Cairns, J. E., Araus, J. L., Makumbi, D., & Atlin, G. N. (2013). Relationship of Line per se and Testcross Performance for Grain Yield of Tropical Maize in Drought and Well-Watered Trials, (July). <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2012.08.0495>
- Kempton, R. A. (1984). The use of biplots in interpreting genotype by environment interactions. *Journal of Agricultural Science*, *103*, 123–135.
- Kermicle, J. I. (1969). Androgenesis conditioned by a mutation in maize, *166*, 23–25.
- Lashermes, P., & Beckert, M. (1988). Genetic control of maternal haploidy in maize (*Zea mays* L.) and selection of haploid inducing lines. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, *76*, 405–410.
- Lashermes, P., Beckert, M., & Crouelle, D. De. (1988). Genetic control of maternal haploidy in maize and selection of haploid inducing lines. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, *76*, 405–410.
- Lee, E. a., Doerksen, T. K., & Kannenberg, L. W. (2003). Genetic Components of Yield Stability in Maize Breeding Populations. *Crop Science*, *43*(6), 2018–2027. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2003.2018>
- Lee, & Tracy. (2009). Modern Maize Breeding. In J. Bennetzen & S. Hake (Eds.), *Handbook of Maize* (pp. 141–160). New York, NY: Springer New York. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-77863-1_7

-
- Li, H., Singh, R. P., Braun, H. J., Pfeiffer, W. H., & Wang, J. (2013). Doubled haploids versus conventional breeding in CIMMYT wheat breeding programs. *Crop Science*, 53(1), 74–83. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2012.02.0116>
- Li, Xu, Jin, & Chen. (2009). Morphological and molecular evidences for DNA introgression in haploid induction via a high oil inducer CAUHOI in maize. *Planta*, 230(2), 367–376. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-009-0943-1>
- Lile, S. M., & Hallauer, A. R. (1994). Relation Between S2 and Later Generation Testcrosses of Two Corn Populations. *The Journal of the Iowa Academy of Science*, 101(6), 6.
- Lin, C., Binns, M., & Lefkovitch, L. (1986). Stability Analysis: Where Do We Stand? *Crop Science*, 26(5), 894–900.
- Lonnquist, J. H. (1950). Effect of Selection for Combining Ability Within Segregating Lines of Corn. *Agronomy Journal*, 42, 503–508.
- Lopez-perez, E. (1979). *Comparisons among five different testers for the evaluation of unselected lines of maize (Zea mays L .)*. Iowa State University. Retrieved from <http://lib.dr.iastate.edu/rtd76655>
- Mandel, J. (1971). A New Analysis of Variance Model for Non-additive Data. *Technometrics*, 13(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00401706.1971.10488751>
- Mayor, P. J., & Bernardo, R. (2009). Doubled haploids in commercial maize breeding: One-stage and two-stage phenotypic selection versus marker-assisted recurrent selection 1. *Maydica*, 54(4), 439–448.
- Melchinger, Longin, Utz, & Reif. (2005). Hybrid maize breeding with doubled haploid lines: quantitative genetic and selection theory for optimum allocation of resources. ... *Forty First Annual Illinois Corn Breeders' ...*, 8–21. Retrieved from <http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Hybrid+maize+breeding+with+doubled+haploid+lines+:+quantitative+genetic+and+selection+theory+for+optimum+allocation+of+resources#0>

-
- Melchinger, Molenaar, Mirdita, & Schipprack. (2016). Colchicine alternatives for chromosome doubling in maize haploids for doubled- haploid production. *Crop Science*, 56(2), 559–569. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2015.06.0383>
- Morris, M. L. (2002). *Impacts of International Wheat Breeding Research in Developing Countries*. Retrieved from <http://repository.cimmyt.org/xmlui/bitstream/handle/10883/908/448194.pdf>
- Nägeli, M., Schmid, J. E., Stamp, P., & Büter, B. (1999). Improved formation of regenerable callus in isolated microspore culture of maize : impact of carbohydrates , plating density and time of transfer. *Plant Cell Reports*, 19(13), 177–184.
- Nanda, D. K., & Chase, S. S. (1966). An Embryo Marker for Detecting Monoploids Of Maize (*Zea Mays* L.). *Crop Science*, 1–3.
- Obaidi, M., Johnson, L., Vleck, S., Kachman, D., & Simth, O. (1998). Family per se response to selfing and selection in maize based on testcross performance: a simulation study. *Crop Science*, 38(March), 367–371. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1998.0011183X003800020016x>
- Ordas, B., Cinta, M., & Hill, W. G. (2007). Effect of selection on the heterozygosity of inbred lines of maize, 117–129. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-007-9078-x>
- Payne, K. T., & Hayes, H. K. (1949). A Comparison of Combining Ability in F2 and F3 Lines of Corn. *Agronomy Journal*, 41, 383–388.
- Prasanna, B., Chaikam, V., & Mahuku, G. (2012). *Doubled Haploid Technology in Maize Breeding: Theory and Practice*. Mexico D.F.: CIMMYT.
- Prigge, V. (2012). Implementation and Optimization of the Doubled Haploid Technology for Tropical Maize (*Zea mays* L .) Breeding Programs.
- Prigge, V., Babu, R., Das, B., Hernández Rodriguez, M., Atlin, G. N., & Melchinger, A. E. (2012). Doubled haploids in tropical maize: II. Quantitative genetic parameters for testcross performance. *Euphytica*, 185(3), 453–463. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-012-0626-z>
- Prigge, V., Sánchez, C., Dhillon, B. S., Schipprack, W., Araus, J. L., Bänziger, M., & Melchinger, A. E. (2011). Doubled haploids in tropical maize: I. effects of inducers and source germplasm

-
- on in vivo haploid induction rates. *Crop Science*, *51*(4), 1498–1506. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2010.10.0568>
- Prigge, V., Xu, X., Li, L., Babu, R., Chen, S., Atlin, G. N., & Melchinger, A. E. (2012). New insights into the genetics of in vivo induction of maternal haploids, the backbone of doubled haploid technology in maize. *Genetics*, *190*(2), 781–793. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.111.133066>
- Purchase, J., Hatting, H., & van Deventer, C. (2000). Genotype × environment interaction of winter wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in South Africa: II. Stability analysis of yield performance. *South African Journal of Plant and Soil*, *17*(3), 101–107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02571862.2000.10634878>
- Qiu, F., Liang, Y., Li, Y., Liu, Y., Wang, L., & Zheng, Y. (2014). Morphological, cellular and molecular evidences of chromosome random elimination in vivo upon haploid induction in maize. *Current Plant Biology*, *1*, 83–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpb.2014.04.001>
- Rahman, A., & Bahl, P. (1986). Evaluation of Early Generation Testing in Chickpea. *Plant Breeding*, *1986*(97), 82–85.
- Rawal, K. M., & Wood, M. N. (1983). *Crop breeding*. (D. R. Wood, Ed.). Madison, Wisconsin: American Society of Agronomy.
- Rieder, C. L., & Palazzo, R. E. (1992). Colcemid and the mitotic cycle. *Cell Science*, *102*, 387–392.
- Rotarenco, V., Dicu, G., Mihailov, M., & State, D. (2012). Selection and breeding experiments at the haploid level in maize (*Zea mays* L.). *Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science*, *4*(5), 72–79. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JPBCS11.089>
- Sarkar, K., & Coe, J. (1966). A genetic analysis of the origin of maternal haploids in maize. *Genetics*, *54*(August), 453–464.
- Schrag, T. a., Frisch, M., Dhillon, B. S., & Melchinger, a. E. (2009). Marker-based prediction of hybrid performance in maize single-crosses involving doubled haploids. *Maydica*, *54*(2–3),

353–362.

- Shull, G. (1905). The composition of a field of maize. *Heredity*, 296–301.
- Shull, G. (1908). A pure line method in corn breeding. *Heredity*, (1), 9.
- Smelser, A. (2014). *Germplasm enhancement of maize : Utilization of doubled haploid breeding on adapted tropical sources*. Iowa State University.
- Smith, J. S. C., Hussain, T., Jones, E. S., Graham, G., Podlich, D., Wall, S., & Williams, M. (2008). Use of doubled haploids in maize breeding: Implications for intellectual property protection and genetic diversity in hybrid crops. *Molecular Breeding*, 22(1), 51–59. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-007-9155-1>
- Sprague. (1977). *Corn and corn improvement*. (G. F. Sprague, Ed.) (American S). Wisconsin: American Society of Agronomy.
- Sprague, G. F. (1946). Early testing of inbred lines of corn. *Journal of the American Society of Agronomy*, 38, 108–117.
- Storck, L., & Vencovsky, R. (1992). Effects of errors of variables on the stability analysis of a group of maize experiments. *Rev Brasil Genet*, 15(4), 879–904.
- Strigens, A., Schipprack, W., Reif, J. C., & Melchinger, A. E. (2013). Unlocking the Genetic Diversity of Maize Landraces with Doubled Haploids Opens New Avenues for Breeding. *PLoS ONE*, 8(2), 7–9. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0057234>
- Thanda Dhliwayo, Pixley, K., Menkir, A., & Warburton, M. (2009). Combining ability, genetic distances, and heterosis among elite cimmyt and iita tropical maize inbred lines. *Crop Science*, 49(4), 1201–1210. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2008.06.0354>
- Troyer, A. F., & Wellin, E. J. (2009). Heterosis Decreasing in Hybrids : Yield Test Inbreds. *Crop Science*, 49(December). <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2009.04.0170>
- Tseng, Y. (2012). *New methods for haploid selection in maize*. Iowa State University.
- United Nations, D. of E. and S. A. population division. (2015). World population prospects the 2015 Revision, Key Findings and Advance. *ESA/P/WP.241*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13398->

014-0173-7.2

USDA, U. S. D. of A. (2018). *World Agricultural Production*. USDA.

Vallejo, F., Espitia, M., Checa, O., & Salazar, F. (2005). *Análisis estadísticos para los diseños genéticos en fitomejoramiento*. (U. N. de Colombia, Ed.).

Vargas-Escobar, E., Vargas-Sánchez, J., & Baena, D. (2016). Analysis of stability and adaptability of QPM hybrids of maize growing in different Colombian agroecological zones. *Acta Agronómica*, 65, 72–79.

Wan, Y., Duncan, D. R., Rayburn, A. L., Petolino, J. F., & Widholm, J. M. (1991). The use of antimicrotubule herbicides for the production of doubled haploid plants from anther-derived maize callus. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 81(2), 205–211. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00215724>

Wan, Y., Petolino, J. F., & Widholm, J. M. (1989). Efficient production of doubled haploid plants through colchicine treatment of anther-derived maize callus. *Theoretical And Applied Genetics*, 77(6), 889–892. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00268344>

Wilde, K., Burger, H., Prigge, V., Presterl, T., Schmidt, W., Ouzunova, M., & Geiger, H. H. (2010). Testcross performance of doubled-haploid lines developed from European flint maize landraces. *Plant Breeding*, 129(2), 181–185. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0523.2009.01677.x>

Wu, P., Ren, J., Li, L., & Chen, S. (2014). Early spontaneous diploidization of maternal maize haploids generated by in vivo haploid induction. *Euphytica*, (August 2016), 127–138. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10681-014-1166-5>

Yan, W., & Kang, M. (2003). *Biplot Analysis*.

Yu, W., & Birchler, J. A. (2016). A green fluorescent protein-engineered haploid inducer line facilitates haploid mutant screens and doubled haploid breeding in maize. *Molecular Breeding*, 36(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11032-015-0428-9>

Zobel, R. W., Wright, M. J., & Gauch, H. G. (1988). *Statistical Analysis of a Yield Trial*.